O2-Se1-O3	99.0 (5)	Se1—O3—Se2	117.1 (5)
O3—Se2—O4	100.4 (5)		
Symmetry codes: (i	$\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2},$	$\frac{3}{2} - z$; (ii) $\frac{3}{2} - x, y = \frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}-z;$ (iii)
x - 1, y, z; (iv) $1 - x$	$y_{1}, -y_{1}, 1-z; (v)$	$x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z;$ (vi	() -x, -y, 1-z.

Data collection and cell refinement: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Data reduction: MolEN (Fair, 1990). Program(s) used to solve structure: MULTAN11/82 (Main et al., 1982). Program(s) used to refine structure: MolEN. Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1172). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Effenberger, H. (1986). Monatsh. Chem. 117, 1099-1106.
- Effenberger, H. (1987a). Mineral. Petrol. 36, 3-12.
- Effenberger, H. (1987b). Monatsh. Chem. 118, 211-216.
- Effenberger, H. (1988). J. Solid State Chem. 73, 118-126.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. An Interactive Intelligent System for Crystal Structure Analysis. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Ginderow, D. & Cesbron, F. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 824-827.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Koskenlinna, M., Niinistö, L. & Valkonen, J. (1976). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, 30, 836–837.
- Koskenlinna, M. & Valkonen, J. (1977a). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 6, 813-816.
- Koskenlinna, M. & Valkonen, J. (1977b). Acta Chem. Scand. Ser. A, 31, 457–460.
- Lawton, S. L. & Kokotailo, G. T. (1972). Inorg. Chem. 11, 363-369.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139-1144.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 3-7

Lithium Perbromate Monohydrate at 296 and 173 K

ANTHONY C. BLACKBURN AND ROGER E. GERKIN*

Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

(Received 29 October 1993; accepted 18 July 1994)

Abstract

Lithium tetraoxobromate(1-) monohydrate, LiBrO₄.-H₂O, whose perchlorate analog has not yet been de-

© 1995 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved scribed, is found to be isomorphic with NaBrO₄.H₂O and NaClO₄.H₂O. Each of the two inequivalent Li ions is coordinated by six O atoms, thus forming distorted octahedra, each of which has three inequivalent Li-O distances. At room temperature, the average Li(1)-O and Li(2)—O distances are 2.150 and 2.164 Å, respectively. The perbromate ion displays very nearly regular tetrahedral geometry, although it is not subject to symmetry constraints. At 296 K the average observed Br-O distance is 1.610(4) Å and the average O-Br-O angle is 109.5 (6)°, while at 173 K the corresponding values are 1.613 (4) Å and 109.5 (7)°. The perbromate ion shows rigid-body behavior but the lithium coordination polyhedra do not. At 296 K, the average rigidbody corrected Br-O distance in the perbromate ion is 1.624(3)Å, in excellent agreement with the corresponding value reported for NaBrO₄.H₂O. Refinement of the two inequivalent H atoms allowed detailed analysis of the hydrogen bonding, which is more extensive than in NaBrO₄.H₂O or in NaClO₄.H₂O. The average observed B values for the H atoms [2.9 (3) $Å^2$ at 296 K and 2.8 (3) Å² at 173 K] are sufficiently small to suggest that dynamic disordering of the H atoms (determined by magnetic resonance methods for NaClO₄.H₂O) is not significant in the title salt.

Comment

This study of LiBrO₄. H_2O is the sequel to an investigation of the structure of LiBrO₄. H_2O (Blackburn, Gallucci, Gerkin & Reppart, 1993). It was of particular interest since the perchlorate analog, LiClO₄. H_2O , has not been described. The potentially similar structure of NaBrO₄. H_2O , however, had been determined (Blackburn, Gallucci, Gerkin & Reppart, 1992).

A stereoview of the structure is given in Fig. 1; hydrogen bonds have been omitted for clarity. The structure is isomorphic with that of NaBrO₄.H₂O (Blackburn *et al.*, 1992) and thus, also with that of NaClO₄.H₂O (Berglund, Thomas & Tellgren, 1975; Berglund, Tellgren & Thomas, 1976).

Each of the two inequivalent Li ions is coordinated by six O atoms at three inequivalent distances. At room temperature, these Li(1)—O dis-



Fig. 1. Stereoview of a unit cell of the lithium perbromate structure at 296 K drawn using *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability for all atoms except H, which have been set artificially small. Hydrogen bonds have been omitted for clarity.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995 tances range from 2.107 to 2.186 Å [average 2.150 Å], while the Li(2)—O distances range from 2.125 to 2.226 Å [average 2.164 Å]. Essentially identical behavior is exhibited by the low-temperature data. Thus, the Li(1)(site symmetry $\overline{1}$)—O distances are as dispersed as the Li(2)(site symmetry 2)—O distances, in contrast to the Na—O distances in both NaBrO₄.H₂O and NaClO₄.H₂O for which the Na(1)—O distances are substantially less dispersed. Overall, the angular departures from regular octahedral geometry (except for angles constrained by symmetry to be 180°) for the title salt at either temperature and for NaBrO₄.H₂O are very similar, if somewhat larger than those for NaClO₄.H₂O.

The perbromate ion in the title compound is subject to no symmetry constraints but, as in NaBrO₄.H₂O, has very nearly regular tetrahedral geometry. The average observed Br—O distances are 1.610 (4) (296 K) and 1.613 (4) Å (173 K), while the average observed angles are 109.5 (6) (296 K) and 109.5 (7)° (173 K). These values are in good agreement with those previously reported for perbromate ions (see Blackburn *et al.*, 1992). Corrected for rigid-body motion, the average Br—O distances are 1.624 (3) (296 K) and 1.621 (3) Å (173 K). Thus, there is excellent agreement with the corresponding value reported for NaBrO₄.H₂O at 296 K of 1.624 (3) Å.

The observed H-O-H angles, 96(7)(296 K) and 106(6)° (173 K), fall, within their uncertainties, in the range 101.0-109.8° given by Chiari & Ferraris (1982) for inorganic hydrates of class A (Ferraris & Franchini-Angela, 1972) to which this water belongs. To account for the foreshortening of the water O-H bond distances as determined by X-ray diffraction, the position of each water H atom was adjusted along the corresponding observed O-H bond direction until the O-H bond distance was 0.954 Å, the mean value for class A inorganic hydrates as determined by neutron diffraction (Chiari & Ferraris, 1982). The resulting neutron-adjusted H-atom coordinates were used in the subsequent analysis of hydrogen bonding, the neutronadjusted H-O(acceptor) distance being taken as the hydrogen-bond length. The hydrogen-bond parameters are given in Table 5.



Fig. 2. Stereoview of the hydrogen bonding and cation coordination involving the water molecule in lithium perbromate monohydrate at 296 K drawn using *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability for all atoms except H, which have been set artificially small. [Symmetry code not previously defined: (xi) $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, z.]

The water O atom, O(5), constitutes a common corner of the two types of LiO₆ octahedra. The Li(1)-O(5)—Li(2) angle is 125.5 (3) (296 K) or 125.8 (2)° (173 K), quite similar to the corresponding angle in NaBrO₄.H₂O [117.4 (2)°]. Otherwise, the environment of O(5) is rather different because of a substantially greater number of hydrogen bonds in the present salt, as illustrated in Fig. 2. In addition to single hydrogen bonds involving H(1) and H(2), and a bifurcated bond involving $O(3^{vii})$ as acceptor, which also occur in NaBrO₄.H₂O (Table 3 and Figs. 2 and 3 in Blackburn et al., 1992), there is a single hydrogen bond involving H(1), two single hydrogen bonds involving H(2), and a second bifurcated bond which involves $O(1^{iv})$ as an acceptor. Thus, while in NaBrO₄.H₂O each H atom is involved in two-center/bifurcated hydrogen bonding, H(1) in the title compound is involved in fourcenter/double-bifurcated and H(2) in five-center/doublebifurcated hydrogen bonding.

This extensive hydrogen bonding appears to account for the modest values of the isotropic displacement parameters for H(1) and H(2): average B = 2.9 (3) Å² at 296 K and 2.8 (3) $Å^2$ at 173 K. These values are substantially smaller than the corresponding mean value in NaBrO₄.H₂O [6.0 (24) Å² (Blackburn *et al.*, 1992)], and very considerably smaller than that in NaClO₄.H₂O [8.8 (X-ray value) or 9.4 $Å^2$ (neutron-diffraction value) (Berglund et al., 1975, 1976)]. Berglund & Tegenfeldt (1977, 1979) concluded from NMR and neutron diffraction studies that in NaClO₄.H₂O the water H atoms 'jump' between two positions associated with a fixed water O-atom position. In NaBrO₄.H₂O, unlike in NaClO₄, H_2O , both H(1) and H(2) are involved simultaneously in moderately short hydrogen bonds and this, together with the smaller B values, suggests that dynamic disordering by hydrogen 'jumping' may be absent in NaBrO₄.H₂O (Blackburn et al., 1992). For LiBrO₂.H₂O, both the increased hydrogen bonding compared with NaBrO₄.H₂O and the great reduction of the isotropic displacement parameters of H(1) and H(2) at both temperatures lead to the surmise that hydrogen 'jumping' is not significant in the title salt.

Experimental

Crystalline LiBrO₄.3H₂O (Blackburn *et al.*, 1993) was heated to constant weight at \sim 400 K, whereupon less than one water of hydration remained per LiBrO₄. This nearly anhydrous material was dissolved in nominally anhydrous methanol and the solution was allowed to evaporate slowly in a chamber purged with dry air until crystals appeared. Analysis showed that these were LiBrO₄.H₂O.

Compound at 296 K

Crustal data

Crystal auta	
LiBrO ₄ .H ₂ O	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 168.86$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

ANTHONY C. BLACKBURN AND ROGER E. GERKIN

Cell parameters from 25 reflections

 $0.38 \times 0.27 \times 0.23$ mm

 $\theta=18.5{-}19.9^\circ$

T = 296 K

Colorless

Part I

Part II

Clear chunk

 $\mu = 10.02 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 18$

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 12$

 $h = 0 \rightarrow 18$

 $k = -7 \rightarrow -1$

 $l = -13 \rightarrow 12$

6 standard reflections

monitored every 150 reflections

average maximum

intensity variation:

 $\pm 2.1\%$

Monoclinic C2/c a = 14.639 (1) Å b = 5.523 (1) Å c = 10.722 (1) Å $\beta = 111.99 (1)^{\circ}$ $V = 803.8 (2) Å^{3}$ Z = 8 $D_{x} = 2.790 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5S diffractometer $\omega - 2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: empirical (six ψ scans) (North, Phillips & Mathews, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.663, T_{max} =$ 1.000 1920 measured reflections 1021 independent reflections 769 observed reflections $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$ $R_{int} = 0.031$ $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

Refinement

Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.76 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
R = 0.024	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.10 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.030	Extinction correction:
S = 1.34	Zachariasen (1963, 1968)
769 reflections	Extinction coefficient:
76 parameters	$2.40(11) \times 10^{-6}$
All H-atom parameters	Atomic scattering factors
refined	from Cromer & Waber
$w = 1/\sigma^2(F), \ \sigma(F) =$	(1974) (non-H) and
$\sigma(I)/2FLp, \sigma^2(I) =$	Stewart, Davidson &
$\sigma_{\rm cs}^{2}(I) + (0.03I)^{2}$	Simpson (1965) (H)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.01$	-

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ at 296 K

 $B_{\rm iso}$ for H atoms; $B_{\rm eq} = (8\pi^2/3)\sum_i\sum_j U_{ij}a_i^*a_i^*a_i.a_j$ for others.

	x	у	z	$B_{\rm iso}/B_{\rm eq}$
Li(1)	1/4	1/4	1/2	2.1 (3)
Li(2)	0	0.4347 (19)	1/4	2.2 (4)
Br	0.12981 (2)	-0.04611 (6)	0.20870 (3)	1.12 (2)
O(1)	0.1730 (2)	-0.0447 (5)	0.3711 (3)	1.8(1)
O(2)	0.2188 (2)	-0.0488 (6)	0.1551 (3)	2.2 (1)
O(3)	0.0628 (2)	0.1893 (6)	0.1517 (3)	2.7 (1)
O(4)	0.0646 (2)	-0.2876 (6)	0.1567 (3)	2.1 (1)
O(5)	0.3780 (2)	-0.0342 (6)	0.0620(3)	1.6(1)
H(1)	0.378 (4)	-0.034 (11)	0.005 (7)	3.2 (17)
H(2)	0.356 (4)	0.097 (12)	0.058 (5)	2.6 (13)

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) forlithium perbromate monohydrate at 296 K

Coordination polyhedron (I)					
Li(1)—O(1)	2.159 (3)	$O(1)-Li(1)-O(1^{ii})$	180		
$Li(1) - O(2^{i})$	2.186 (3)	O(1) - Li(1) - O(2')	84.0(1)		

$Li(1) - O(5^{i})$	2.107 (3)	$O(1) - Li(1) - O(2^{iii})$	96.0 (1)
$O(1) - O(1^{ii})$	4.318 (6)	$O(1) - Li(1) - O(5^{i})$	88.0(1)
$O(2^{i}) - O(2^{iii})$	4.373 (6)	O(1)—Li(1)—O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	92.0 (1)
$O(5^{i}) - O(5^{iii})$	4.214 (6)	$O(2^{i})$ —Li(1)— $O(2^{iii})$	180
$O(1) - O(2^{i})$	2.909 (4)	$O(2^{i})$ — $Li(1)$ — $O(5^{i})$	83.5 (1)
$O(1) - O(5^{i})$	2.963 (4)	$O(2^{i})$ —Li(1)— $O(5^{iii})$	96.5 (1)
$O(2^{i}) - O(5^{i})$	2.860 (4)	$O(5^{i})$ —Li(1)— $O(5^{iii})$	180
Coordination polyh	edron (II)		
$L_{i}(2) = O(3)$	2.125 (8)	$O(3) - Li(2) - O(3^{\vee})$	100.8 (5)
$Li(2) - O(4^{iv})$	2.226 (8)	$O(3) - Li(2) - O(4^{iv})$	83.2 (1)
$Li(2) - O(5^{iii})$	2.142 (3)	$O(3) - Li(2) - O(4^{vi})$	175.9 (4)
$Li(2) - O(3^{v})$	2.125 (8)	$O(3) - Li(2) - O(5^{iii})$	98.9 (2)
$Li(2) - O(4^{vi})$	2.226 (8)	$O(3) - Li(2) - O(5^{vii})$	87.0 (2)
Li(2)—O(5 ^{vii})	2.142 (3)	$O(3^{v})$ —Li(2)— $O(4^{iv})$	175.9 (4)
O(3)—O(4 ^{iv})	2.889 (5)	$O(3^{v})$ —Li(2)— $O(4^{vi})$	83.2(1)
O(3)O(4 ^{vi})	4.348 (4)	O(3 ^v)—Li(2)—O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	87.0 (2)
O(3)O(3 ^v)	3.274 (6)	$O(3^{v})$ — $Li(2)$ — $O(5^{vii})$	98.9 (2)
O(3)—O(5 ^{vii})	2.937 (4)	$O(4^{iv})$ —Li(2)— $O(4^{vi})$	92.9 (4)
O(3)O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	3.242 (4)	$O(4^{iv})$ — $Li(2)$ — $O(5^{iii})$	91.3 (2)
$O(4^{iv}) \rightarrow O(5^{vii})$	2.876 (4)	$O(4^{iv})$ —Li(2)— $O(5^{vii})$	82.3 (2)
$O(4^{iv}) \rightarrow O(5^{iii})$	3.124 (4)	$O(4^{vi})$ — $Li(2)$ — $O(5^{iii})$	82.3 (2)
$O(4^{iv}) \rightarrow O(4^{vi})$	3.227 (5)	$O(4^{vi})$ -Li(2)-O(5 ^{vii})	91.3 (2)
O(5 ^{vii})—O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	4.270 (6)	$O(5^{iii})$ —Li(2)— $O(5^{vii})$	170.8 (6)
Water molecule			
O(5)—H(1)	0.62(7)	H(1)O(5)H(2)	96 (7)
O(5)—H(2)	0.79 (6)		
Perbromate ion: rig	id body (I) Rigid-bod	у	

	Ingla obay		
	corrected		
1.615 (3)	1.625	O(1)—Br— $O(2)$	110.0(1)
1.608 (3)	1.623	O(1)—Br—O(3)	110.1 (1)
1.606 (3)	1.621	O(1)—Br—O(4)	108.6(1)
1.613 (3)	1.626	O(2)—Br—O(3)	109.4 (2)
		O(2)—Br—O(4)	108.8 (2)
		O(3)—Br—O(4)	109.9 (2)
	1.615 (3) 1.608 (3) 1.606 (3) 1.613 (3)	corrected 1.615 (3) 1.625 1.608 (3) 1.623 1.606 (3) 1.621 1.613 (3) 1.626	corrected 1.615 (3) 1.625 O(1)—Br—O(2) 1.608 (3) 1.623 O(1)—Br—O(3) 1.606 (3) 1.621 O(1)—Br—O(4) 1.613 (3) 1.626 O(2)—Br—O(4) O(2)—Br—O(4) O(3)—Br—O(4)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z$; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (iv) x, 1 + y, z; (v) $-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (vi) $-x, 1 + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (vii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + y, z$.

Compound at 173 K

Crystal data

LiBrO ₄ .H ₂ O	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 168.86$	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
C2/c	reflections
a = 14.551 (3) Å	$\theta = 14.0 - 15.0^{\circ}$
b = 5.504 (1) Å	$\mu = 10.18 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 10.641 (1) Å	T = 173 K
$\beta = 111.93 (1)^{\circ}$	Clear chunk
V = 790.5 (2) Å ³	$0.50 \times 0.38 \times 0.35$ mm
Z = 8	Colorless
$D_x = 2.838 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-5S diffractome-	778 observed reflections
ter	$[I > 3\sigma(I)]$
ω -2 θ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.028$
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$
empirical (six ψ scans)	$h = 0 \rightarrow 18$
(North, Phillips &	$k = 0 \rightarrow 7$
Mathews, 1968)	$l = -13 \rightarrow 12$
$T_{\min} = 0.668, T_{\max} =$	6 standard reflections
1.000	monitored every 150
1040 measured reflections	reflections
1000 independent reflections	intensity variation: $\pm 1.2\%$

Refinement	nement Perbromate ion: rigid body (I)					
Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.89 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$			Rigid-bod corrected	у	
R = 0.022	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.72 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	Br—O(1)	1.618 (3)	1.624	O(1)-Br-O(2)	110.0(1)
wR = 0.031	Extinction correction:	Br—O(2)	1.615 (3)	1.624	O(1)-Br-O(3)	110.2(1)
S = 1.49	Zachariasen (1963, 1968)	BrO(3)	1.606 (3)	1.616	O(1)-Br-O(4)	108.3 (1)
778 reflections 75 parameters	Extinction coefficient: 1.57 (9) $\times 10^{-6}$	Br—O(4)	1.613 (3)	1.621	O(2)BrO(3) O(2)BrO(4) O(3)BrO(4)	109.1 (2) 109.4 (1) 109.8 (2)
All H-atom parameters refined $w = 1/\sigma^2(F), \sigma(F) =$	Atomic scattering factors from Cromer & Waber (1974) (non-H) and	Symmetry $y, \frac{1}{2} - z;$ ($x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$	codes: (i) x , – iv) x , 1 + y , z .	$y, \frac{1}{2} + z;$ (i) (v) $-x, y$	ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $1 - z$ y , $\frac{1}{2} - z$; (vi) $-x$, $1 - z$	z; (iii) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$; (vii)
$\sigma(I)/2FLp, \sigma^{2}(I) = \sigma_{cs}^{2}(I) + (0.03I)^{2}$	Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965) (H)	~ 2,2,	<i>y</i> , <i>2</i> .			

$\sigma_{\rm cs}^{2}(l$)+	· (0.0
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max}$	<	0.01

Table 3.	Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	equivalent
isotr	onic displac	ement p	arameters (Å	2) at	t 173 K

Biso	for	H atoms;	$B_{\rm eq} =$	$(8\pi^2)$	/3)2	$L_i \Sigma_j l$	ija¦*a	$i_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$	for o	thers.
------	-----	----------	----------------	------------	------	------------------	--------	---	-------	--------

	x	у	z	$B_{\rm iso}/B_{\rm eq}$
Li(1)	1/4	1/4	1/2	1.3 (3)
Li(2)	0	0.4340 (18)	1/4	1.2 (3)
Br	0.13044 (2)	-0.04967 (7)	0.21015 (3)	0.59 (2)
O(1)	0.1724 (2)	-0.0485 (5)	0.3740 (2)	1.0(1)
O(2)	0.2214 (2)	-0.0509 (6)	0.1580 (3)	1.2(1)
O(3)	0.0633 (2)	0.1867 (6)	0.1516 (3)	1.5(1)
O(4)	0.0647 (2)	-0.2916 (5)	0.1575 (3)	1.2 (1)
O(5)	0.3781 (2)	-0.0370 (6)	0.0616 (3)	0.9(1)
H(1)	0.381 (4)	-0.048 (11)	0.005 (7)	2.5 (15)
H(2)	0.350 (4)	0.096 (11)	0.060 (6)	3.1 (14)

Table	4.	Sel	ected	bond	lengti	hs (A)	and	angl	es (°) for
	li	thiu	m per	broma	ite mo	nohyd	rate a	tt 173	K	

Coordination polyl	uedron (I)		
Li(1)—O(1)	2.154 (3)	O(1)—Li(1)—O(1 ⁱⁱ)	180
$Li(1) - O(2^{i})$	2.173 (3)	$O(1) - Li(1) - O(2^{i})$	83.7 (1)
Li(1)—O(5 ⁱ)	2.089 (3)	$O(1) - Li(1) - O(2^{iii})$	96.3 (7)
$O(1) - O(1^{ii})$	4.309 (5)	$O(1) - Li(1) - O(5^{i})$	88.1 (1)
$O(2^{i}) - O(2^{iii})$	4.346 (6)	$O(1) - Li(1) - O(5^{iii})$	91.9(1)
O(5 ⁱ)—O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	4.178 (6)	$O(2^{i})$ -Li(1)-O(2 ⁱⁱⁱ)	180
$O(1) - O(2^{i})$	2.886 (4)	$O(2^{i})$ -Li(1)-O(5 ⁱ)	83.1 (1)
O(1)—O(5 ⁱ)	2.950 (4)	$O(2^{i})$ —Li(1)—O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	96.9 (1)
$O(2^i) \rightarrow O(5^i)$	2.828 (4)	$O(5^{i})$ -Li(1)-O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	180
Coordination polyl	nedron (II)		
Li(2)—O(3)	2.126 (7)	$O(3) - Li(2) - O(3^{v})$	100.4 (4)
$Li(2) \rightarrow O(4^{iv})$	2.197 (7)	$O(3) - Li(2) - O(4^{iv})$	83.2(1)
Li(2)—O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	2.129 (3)	$O(3) - Li(2) - O(4^{vi})$	176.2 (4)
$Li(2) - O(3^{v})$	2.126 (7)	$O(3) - Li(2) - O(5^{iii})$	98.6(2)
$Li(2) \rightarrow O(4^{vi})$	2.197 (7)	$O(3) - Li(2) - O(5^{vii})$	86.9 (2)
Li(2)—O(5 ^{vii})	2.129 (3)	$O(3^{v})$ -Li(2)-O(4 ^{iv})	176.2 (4)
O(3)—O(4 ^{iv})	2.871 (4)	$O(3^{v})$ —Li(2)— $O(4^{vi})$	83.2(1)
O(3)—O(4 ^{vi})	4.321 (4)	$O(3^{v})$ -Li(2)-O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	86.9 (2)
O(3)—O(3 ^v)	3.267 (6)	$O(3^{v})$ —Li(2)—O(5 ^{vii})	98.6 (2)
O(3)—O(5 ^{vii})	2.927 (4)	$O(4^{iv})$ -Li(2)-O(4 ^{vi})	93.2 (4)
O(3)O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	3.226 (4)	$O(4^{iv})$ —Li(2)— $O(5^{iii})$	91.4 (2)
$O(4^{iv}) - O(5^{vii})$	2.859 (4)	$O(4^{iv})$ —Li(2)—O(5 ^{vii})	82.7 (2)
$O(4^{iv}) - O(5^{iii})$	3.097 (4)	$O(4^{v_1})$ -Li(2)-O(5 ⁱⁱⁱ)	82.7 (2)
$O(4^{iv}) - O(4^{vi})$	3.193 (5)	$O(4^{vi})$ -Li(2)-O(5 ^{vii})	91.4 (2)
$O(5^{vu}) - O(5^{uu})$	4.247 (6)	$O(5^{ui})$ — $Li(2)$ — $O(5^{vu})$	171.4 (6)
Water molecule			
O(5)—H(1)	0.62 (6)	H(1)O(5)H(2)	106 (6)
O(5)—H(2)	0.84 (6)		

Table 5	5.	Hydrogen-bond parameters (Å,	°)	for	lithium
		perbromate monohydra	te			

D—H···A	Т	$H \cdots A$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
O(5) = H(2) + O(2)	296	2 71 (5)	2 70	2 860 (4)	93 (4)
0(3) 11(2) 0(2)	173	2.59 (6)	2.58	2.829 (4)	98 (5)
O(5)—H(1)· · · O(4) ^{viii}	296	2.40 (7)	2.12	2.941 (4)	149 (7)
	173	2.32 (6)	2.02	2.910 (4)	157 (7)
O(5)—H(2)· · · O(1) ⁱⁱⁱ	296	2.22 (6)	2.07	2.963 (5)	158 (5)
	173	2.14 (6)	2.03	2.934 (4)	158 (5)
$O(5) - H(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(1)^{ix}$	296	2.83 (6)	2.82	2.963 (4)	96 (6)
	173	2.88 (6)	2.90	2.950 (4)	90 (6)
$O(5) - H(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(1)^{ix}$	296	2.69 (5)	2.66	2.963 (4)	103 (4)
	173	2.62 (6)	2.59	2.950 (4)	105 (5)
O(5)H(2)···O(4) ⁱⁱⁱ	296	2.91 (5)	2.89	3.124 (4)	98 (4)
	173	2.86 (6)	2.84	3.097 (4)	99 (4)
$O(5) - H(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2)^{viii}$	296	2.90 (6)	2.78	3.203 (4)	114 (6)
	173	2.86 (6)	2.73	3.189 (4)	117 (6)
$O(5) - H(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(3)^{x}$	296	2.88 (7)	2.65	3.335 (5)	134 (7)
	173	2.90 (6)	2.70	3.322 (4)	129 (6)
$O(5) - H(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(3)^{x}$	296	3.14 (5)	3.12	3.335 (4)	97 (6)
	173	3.19 (6)	3.19	3.322 (4)	92 (6)
Symmetry codes: (iii)	$\frac{1}{2}$ -	$x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} -$	- z; (viii) ½ -	$-x, -\frac{1}{2}$ -	-y, -z; (ix)
<i>x</i> , -	-y, z	$-\frac{1}{2};(x)\frac{1}{2}$ -	$-x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -$	-z.	

* See text for details.

Since the monohydrate reacts very readily with moisture in ambient air to form the trihydrate, the crystal for roomtemperature study was mounted with epoxy cement and sealed in a capillary tube; for the low-temperature study a crystal was mounted with epoxy cement on a quartz pin and kept in dry air until it was immersed in the cold gas stream produced by a Molecular Structure Corporation low-temperature apparatus. The estimated uncertainty of the crystal temperature is 2 K. The radiation was graphite monochromated.

Unit cells were determined by symmetry-constrained leastsquares fits. Scan widths were $(1.35 + 0.35\tan\theta)$ and (1.40 + $(0.35\tan\theta)^{\circ}$ in ω for the low-temperature study and the roomtemperature study, respectively. The background/scan time ratio was 0.5 for both studies. No correction was required for decay, but both data sets were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects.

Both sets of intensity data had Laue symmetry and systematic absences consistent with space groups C2/c and Cc. The centrosymmetric alternative (C2/c, No. 15) was given initial preference and adopted since refinement proceeded well.

For the low-temperature study, initial coordinates for Br were obtained from a Patterson map. The *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1989) package was used to generate a Fourier difference list from which the five O atoms and two Li atoms were located. The two H atoms were also located by Fourier difference methods following anisotropic full-matrix least-squares refinement of all the non-H atoms, and were refined isotropically. For the room-temperature study, initial coordinates were taken from the low-temperature study. An extinction coefficient (Zachariasen, 1963, 1968) was included in the final stages of least-squares refinement in each case: at low temperature the maximum effect was 16.2% of F_o for 002; at room temperature, 18.8% of F_o for 400. The residual electron-density peaks are consistent in magnitude with those of the NaBrO₄.H₂O study (Blackburn *et al.*, 1992).

Rigid-body analysis of the two Li–O polyhedra and of the perbromate anion was performed using the program *THMA*11 (Trueblood, 1986) based on the work of Schomaker & Trueblood (1968). While neither of the lithium coordination polyhedra was found to conform to rigid-body behavior, the average magnitude of the differences in the mean-square displacement amplitudes along the interatomic vectors for the ten unique atom pairs of the perbromate ion was found to be $13(18) \times 10^{-4}$ Å² for the low-temperature data and 15 (20) $\times 10^{-4}$ Å² for the room-temperature data. The Hirshfeld (1976) rigid-bond test, extended as described by Rosenfield, Trueblood & Dunitz (1978), is satisfied and Br—O bond lengths corrected for rigid-body motion are given in Tables 2 and 4.

We thank Dr Trueblood for providing a copy of the program *THMA11* and Dr J. C. Gallucci for assistance of various sorts. Partial support of this research through the purchase of the diffractometer system by an NIH grant is gratefully acknowledged.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters and data relating to the rigid-body analysis have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: CR1113). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Berglund, B. & Tegenfeldt, J. (1977). Z. Naturforsch. 32, 134-139.
- Berglund, B. & Tegenfeldt, J. (1979). J. Magn. Reson. 34, 403-411.
- Berglund, B., Tellgren, R. & Thomas, J. O. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 2444–2449.
- Berglund, B., Thomas, J. O. & Tellgren, R. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 1842–1846.
- Blackburn, A. C., Gallucci, J. C., Gerkin, R. E. & Reppart, W. J. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 419-424.
- Blackburn, A. C., Gallucci, J. C., Gerkin, R. E. & Reppart, W. J. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1437-1439.
- Chiari, G. & Ferraris, G. (1982). Acta Cryst. B38, 2331-2341.
- Cromer, D. T. & Waber, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, pp. 71, 148. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Ferraris, G. & Franchini-Angela, M. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 3572– 3583.
- Hirshfeld, F. L. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 239-244.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1989). TEXSAN. TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package. Version 5.0. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, Texas, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Rosenfield, R. E., Trueblood, K. N. & Dunitz, J. D. (1978). Acta Cryst. A34, 828-829.
- Schomaker, V. & Trueblood, K. N. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 63-76.
- Stewart, R. F., Davidson, E. R. & Simpson, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175–3187.
- Trueblood, K. N. (1986). THMA11. Program for Thermal Motion Analysis. Univ. of California, Los Angeles, USA.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 1139-1144.
- Zachariasen, W. H. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 212-216.